| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|------------|
| Notice of Allowability | 10/686,596 | BRUDER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | M |
| | Dávid V. Bruce | 2882 | 100 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | ears on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apply or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to 3 and MPEP 1308. | plication. If not included I will be mailed in due cou | ırse. THIS |
| _ | <u>01 0/20/00</u> . | | |
| 2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,3,5-10,12-15 and 17-53. | | | |
| 3. The drawings filed on 15 March 2004 are accepted by the | Examiner. | | |
| 4. | | | |
| attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | | | · |
| Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-1 | 52) |
| 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO-413), | • |
| Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date | Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendr | te nent/Comment | |
| Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other | ent of Reasons for Allowa | ance |
| · . | | | |

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew Waxman on August 26, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

CLAIMS

- 17. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[16]] 13, wherein the prescribed angular range for a spiral segment of length L_s is $\leq \Pi + 2 * \beta_{max}$.
- 18. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[2]] $\underline{1}$, wherein the prescribed angular range for a spiral segment of length L_s is $\leq \Pi + 2 * \beta_{max}$.
- 37. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the segment planes formed at least approximately by the spiral segments have a maximum inclination such that rays for the segment plane in the detector are present inside the measuring field at the ends of the spiral segment considered.
- 38. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] $\underline{5}$, wherein, for the purpose of 3D back projection a spiral segment I_l of length $L_l = [-\alpha_{max}, +\alpha_{max}]$ with $\alpha_{max} = M \cdot \pi/p$ is subdivided equidistantly into N_{tilt} overlapping partial segments I_l^k ($1 \le k \le N_{tilt}$) of length L_s , whose centroids differ from one another by at most L_s , p corresponding to the set pitch, such that the following holds for the subsegments I_R^k ($1 \le k \le N_{tilt}$) produced:

$$I_{R}^{k} = I_{I}^{k}; 1 < k < N_{tilt}$$

Application/Control Number: 10/686,596

Art Unit: 2882

$$I_R^{-1} = I_I^1 \cup \left\{-\alpha^{\nu} \max, -\alpha \max\right\}$$

$$I_R^{Niili} = I_I^{Niili} \cup \left\{\alpha \max, \alpha^{\nu} \max\right\}$$

and the projection datum, belonging to an image voxel, in the detector image D_k is determined by projection in the reconstruction segment I_R^k ($1 \le k \le N_{tilt}$), α^v_{max} representing the maximum angle reached by the ray through the voxel V.

- 39. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the measured absorption data is weighted as a function of the cosine angle of the ray produced in the direction of the axis of rotation of the detector and radiation source.
- 40. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the detector is of planar design and includes a multiplicity of detector elements arranged matricially in rows and columns for detecting the spiral scanning.
- 41. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the scanning of the object is done by rotating ray bundle moving in the direction of the axis of rotation.
- 42. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the projecting of the measured absorption data onto a virtual detector is done at a fulcrum of the rotation.
- 43. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim [[4]] 5, wherein the filtering takes place along the intersection line of doubly inclined planes in the virtual detector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David V. Bruce whose telephone number is (571) 272-2487. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th and alt Fri 8:00 - 4:30 subject to I-Flex.

Art Unit: 2882

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David V Bruce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

dvb